**6. Monitoring and Learning – Final Assessment Table (FAT)**

[Please fill in every section of this table – paying particular attention this time to the grey impact and coloured outcome sections]

| **Result level**  **Nivel de resultado** | **Measurable Indicators**  **Indicadores mensurables** | **Baseline conditions – How were things when FFF started for each indicator marked in bold?** | **Progress for each indicator by the end of the first phase of FFF** | **How do you know this? (evidence – reports, presentations etc.)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Impact:**  *Smallholder, women, community and Indigenous Peoples groups have improved income and food security from sustainable forest and farm management* | *Impact indicator 1*: Perception of changes in **business marketing capacity and income** (females and males) | Las poblaciones en territorios con bosque tradicionalmente se dedican a la recolección de dos o tres productos principales |  |  |
| *Impact indicator 2*: Perceptions of changes in **diversity and abundance of forest resources** (male/female) | Bolivia tiene una taza alta de deforestación y de incendios en tierras forestales |  |  |
| *Impact indicator 3*: Perceptions of changes in the level of **diversity of income generation activities** | Tradicionalmente el sector forestal ha estado orientado a la explotación forestal maderable manejada por empresas privadas. |  |  |
| **Pillar 1: Strengthening producer groups for business and policy engagement** | | | | |
| **Outcome 1:**  *Producers are organised for policy engagement* | *Were there any examples of specific new or changed* ***policies, regulations and rules*** *enacted (including access to resources, land titles, community forestry certificates) that resulted from policy engagements by forest farm producer representatives (OI1.1)*  *¿Existen ejemplos de políticas, regulaciones y normas específicas nuevas o modificadas (incluyendo el acceso a los recursos, los títulos de propiedad y los certificados de silvicultura comunitaria) que resultaron de los compromisos de política de los representantes de los productores de las explotaciones forestales (OI1.1)* | NO  FAOBO no estaba trabajando en la región con bosques con proyectos que promuevan la gestión integral y sustentable del bosque o de fortalecimiento organizacional |  |  |
| *Has this policy work resulted in any relevant* ***principles for sustainable forest and farm management*** *being mainstreamed into national policies and planning? (OI1.2)*  *¿Esta política ha dado como resultado que los principios pertinentes para la ordenación sostenible de bosques y fincas se integren en las políticas y la planificación nacionales? (OI1.2)* | NO |  |  |
| Output 1.1  *Dispersed local producers are organised into effective and gender inclusive groups* | **Learning questions Output 1.1**  What encourages people to join groups or discouraged them and why? (LQ1.1.1)  How can groups best be encouraged to associate at higher levels (e.g. regional or national federations?) (LQ1.1.2)  How can representativeness in producer groups be increased (e.g. for women, youth etc)? (LQ1.1.3)  Changes or adaptation that were made to FFF activities as a result: | | | |
| How many forest **producer groups** were formed, using what names, and where? How many male and female members do they have? Describe the main steps in the process (I1.1.1) | 7 Total  1 Pando  2 San Ignacio de Velasco  1 Norte La Paz  3 Nacionales |  |  |
| Did the **group(s) representation** adequately reflect everyone? (Women? Youth? Marginalised groups?) (I1.1.2) | 50% hombres  50% mujeres  En Bolivia la representación es familiar |  |  |
| How many **women leaders** were there out of all leaders? (I1.1.3 and 1.1.4) | 21% |  |  |
| How many **meetings to decide on their priorities** did the group hold and what were they? Describe the groups priorities and main activities to date (I1.1.5) | No existe información sistematizada  Existen reuniones mensuales, trimestrales, semestrales y anuales; también extraordinarias |  |  |
| *Country level indicator to be added if required* |  |  |  |
| Output 1.2  *Producer groups work together with Government and Private sector to improve policy* | **Learning questions Output 1.2**  What do local forest farm producer organizations need more of, to link together to plan effectively at regional and national levels? (LQ1.2.1)  How is consultation about policy issues with Forest farm producer group members carried out and is it reaching the harder to reach? (LQ1.2.2)  How can truly collaborative policy dialogues be designed and structured in ways that respect the role of forest farm producers? (LQ1.2.3)  Changes or adaptation that were made to FFF activities as a result | | | |
| How many **policy meetings attended by representatives of forest and farm producer groups** were there? (I1.2.1) | Ninguna  No existe información sistematizada |  |  |
| How many were the particular **policies / policy processes targeted by representatives of forest farm producer organisations**? What were these? What specific changes to those policies / processes did those representatives wish to see? (I1.2.2) | Ninguna  No existe información sistematizada |  |  |
| What was the **number of either men and women from forest and farm producer groups that held a decision making position** in the targeted policy making process? (I1.2.3) | No existe información sistematizada |  |  |
| *Country level indicator to be added if required* |  |  |  |
| Outcome 2:  *Producers are organised for business* | *How many forest farm producer organisations have either* ***diversified or added value to their products*** *in some way through the activities of FFF (verified through perception of FFPOs)? (OI2.1)* | Inicialmente se identificaron 4 organizaciones a nivel nacional pero que en los territorios priorizados para el FFF tenían mínimas acciones operativas |  |  |
| *How many forest farm producer organisations are now* ***accessing new finance*** *through the work of the FFF (broken down into male and female members)? (OI2.2)* | No existe información sistematizada pero son mínimas o inexistentes |  |  |
| Output 2.1  *Producer organizations know about business and can access finance* | **Learning questions Output 2.1**  What are the best tactics to increase knowledge among forest farm producer organisations about the practicalities of business development? (LQ2.1.1)  Do forest farm producer organisations have access to and control over funding to support business development and what more can be done? (LQ2.1.2)  Is support funding being allocated to the priorities identified by forest farm producer organisations? (LQ2.1.3)  Changes or adaptation that were made to FFF activities as a result | | | |
| Following FFF intervention, what is the perception of forest farm producers of their improved **ability to access markets** (I2.1.1) | No corresponde  No existe información sistematizada |  |  |
| How many **sustainable business plans** were developed by forest farm producer organisations as a result of FFF activities? (I2.1.2) | No corresponde  No existe información sistematizada |  |  |
| *Country level indicator to be added if required* |  |  |  |
| Output 2.2  *Establishment of services in support of small forest businesses* | **Learning questions Output 2.2**  Is the variety and nature of business and technical service provision useful and improving for forest farm producer organisations through FFF facilitation? (LQ2.2.1)  What is needed to improve access of forest farm producer organisations to such service provision? (LQ2.2.2)  Is access to finance improving for forest farm producer organisations? (LQ2.2.3)  How has increased investment at a local level impacted forest ecosystems? (LQ2.2.4)  Changes or adaptation that were made to FFF activities as a result | | | |
| How many new resources and / or actors have been linked or engaged for **service provision** by forest farm producer organisations through the work of FFF? (I2.2.1) | No existe información sistematizada |  |  |
| What is the **main type of service provision being offered** to forest farm producer organisations? (how is this serving both men and women?) (I2.2.2) | Reconocimiento de sus derechos propietarios y de uso a través de instituciones gubernamentales |  |  |
| *Country level indicator to be added if required* |  |  |  |
| Output 2.3  *Experience sharing between producer organizations in-country* | **Learning questions Output 2.3**  What made the exchange visits useful for that forest farm producer organisation? (RQ2.3.1)  What topic should exchange visits focus on in the future and why? (RQ2.3.2)  How frequent and long, should these exchanges be? (RQ2.3.3)  Changes or adaptation in that were made to FFF activities as a result | | | |
| Describe highlights from any **in-country exchange visits** that forest farm producer organisations took part in? (I2.3.1) | No existe información sistematizada |  |  |
| What **new practices, plans and systems adopted afterwards** were there from the place where they visited? (I2.3.2) | No existe información sistematizada |  |  |
| *Country level indicator to be added if required* |  |  |  |
| **Pillar 2: Catalyzing multi-sectorial policy platforms** | | | | |
| Outcome 3:  *Cross-sectorial policy coordination for sustainable forest and farm management* | *Indicator 3.1: # of* ***new or changed policies, regulations, rules*** *enacted improving the national enabling environment and enhancing POs ability for SFM and livelihoods* | El sector forestal está en un proceso de cambio de toda la estructura institucional y normativa |  |  |
| Output 3.1 *Establishment and coordination of multi-sectorial policy platforms* | **Learning questions Output 3.1**  How can different ministries be most effectively brought together to make integrated decisions over forest landscapes and how can the momentum be maintained? (LQ3.1.1)  What factors ensure effective participation by all parties? (LQ3.1.2)  What role do forest farm producer organisation representatives play and how is this changing over time? (LQ3.1.3)  How is the participation of forest farm producer organisations changing decision making and what specific examples exist of this? (LQ3.1.4)  Changes or adaptation that were made to FFF activities as a result | | | |
| How many **multi-sectoral policy fora** have been established and or strengthened at country and regional levels? Please describe their names and main objectives. (I3.1.1) | Una plataforma internacional  Mercosur - COPROFAM  Dos plataformas sectoriales nacionales  Mecanismo Conjunto de Mitigación y Adaptación al Cambio Climático  Coordinación Sectorial en Desarrollo Productivo - COSDEPRO  Una a nivel departamental y municipal  Consejo Departamental Productivo – CODEP |  |  |
| What has been the **nature and level of direct representation** by forest farm producer group (female and male members) in key platforms (I3.1.2) |  |  |  |
| How many **decisions taken that directly reflect Forest Farm producer organisation presence and inputs** have there been? Please describe what these were (I3.1.3) | Solo un representante de las organizaciones nacionales |  |  |
| *Country level indicator to be added if required* |  |  |  |
| Output 3.2  *Increased information sharing results in improved understanding and better policies for producer organisations* | **Learning questions Output 3.2**  What changes at the landscape level and the community level best illustrate improved information sharing processes? (LQ3.2.1)  Are benefits from participation in these platforms real and tangible – and if so, what is the evidence for this? (LQ3.2.2)  Are some being left out of the process and benefits? If so how can we correct this? (LQ3.2.3)  Have any inter-ministerial inconsistencies and jurisdiction (legislation etc) affecting POs been identified and resolved? (LQ3.2.4)  Changes or adaptation that were made to FFF activities as a result | | | |
| To what extent do producers perceive an increase in their **understanding of how government operates** – through participation in policy platforms? (I3.2.1) | No existe información sistematizada |  |  |
| How many **new networks/contacts resulting in new opportunities for forest farm producer organisations** have been gained through participation in these platforms? (I3.2.2) | No existe información sistematizada |  |  |
| *Country level indicator to be added if required* |  |  |  |
| **Pillar 3: Linking local voices to global processes** | | | | |
| Outcome 4: *National and global agendas are informed about the priorities of local producers* | *Indicator 4.1: # of* ***regional and global decision making processes*** *engaged and aware of PO priorities* | Bolivia está ingresando a los espacios regionales y globales como parte de la política internacional con representación solo de representantes del gobierno |  |  |
| *Indicator 4.2:* ***representation of POs at regional and global initiatives*** | Minima casi inexistente |  |  |
| Output 4.1 *Organizations representing local producers influence global processes* | **Learning questions Output 4.1**  Are existing communication channels linking forest farm producer organisations to global processes easy to access and effective – how can these be facilitated? (LQ4.1.1)  How are international or national initiatives on forests reflecting the knowledge and needs of forest farm producer organisations (LQ4.1.2)  How do we increase two way communication so experiences are shared back to constituencies and members? (LQ4.1.3)  Changes or adaptation that were made to FFF activities as a result | | | |
| How many **strategies and / or mechanisms for representative planning and advocacy** been developed either regionally / globally? (I4.1.1) | No existe información sistematizada |  |  |
| To what extent did representatives of forest farm producer organisations provide **report backs from regional and global levels to constituencies** at national and local levels? (I4.1.2) | No existe información sistematizada |  |  |
| What examples are there where **global policies and mechanisms vital to forest farm producer organisations were shaped** through FFF supported engagements? (I4.1.3) | No existe información sistematizada |  |  |
| *Country level indicator to be added if required* |  |  |  |
| Output 4.2  *Learning and practices are shared within and between countries and regions, and globally* | **Learning questions Output 4.2**  Is there a regular and effective communication between producer groups and international institutions? (LQ4.2.1)  What kind of information sharing, duration and numbers at information sharing events is most useful to forest farm producer organisations based on feedback from them? (LQ4.2.2)  How can priorities be established and shared to help guide decision making on where to invest time and resources in the future? (LQ4.2.3)  Changes or adaptation that were made to FFF activities as a result | | | |
| How many targeted FFF related **communication materials** have been carried out to spread findings beyond one country? (I4.2.1) | No existe información sistematizada |  |  |
| How many **information sharing events** between forest farm producer organisations from different countries?. (I4.2.2) | No existe información sistematizada |  |  |
| Describe **highlights from each of those information sharing events**? (I4.2.3) | No existe información sistematizada |  |  |
| *Country level indicator to be added if required* |  |  |  |